

The British and Americans have loudly protested that they did not back the Israelis in the recent Arab-Israel lightning war. Can they be believed? Their own past have condemned them. The record of their lies is impressive.

1. Korean War.

The US Truman government deemed North Korea an invader in South Korea territory, got the United Nations on October 7, 1950 to agree to repel the "attack" and on June 20, 1951 to brand China as an aggressor.

There is every reason to believe that Syngman Rhee, the U.S. puppet in South Korea was the real trouble-maker. For long he had declared his intentions of "liberating" North Korea; ~~and~~ "if we have not settle this thing by war, we will do all the fighting needed."

In this view, Rhee was supported by General Douglas MacArthur who urged that "the barrier (38th parallel) must and will be torn down," and who also wanted to use atomic bombs ^{and} to take the war to China.

2. Overthrow of Arbenz Government

After the democratically-elected Arbenz government began in 1954 to move against the monopoly of the powerful US United Fruit Company (a subsidiary, Elders and Fyff's will be in charge of Guyana's proposed Banana cultivation) over lands, railways and ports, Col Armas led a US-backed well-armed invasion which toppled Arbenz. At the first, the U.S. government doubted any complicity. Later, the CIA claimed it as one of its successes.

3. British-French-Israeli attack on Egypt in 1956

After President Nasser (see my The West On Trial for background) nationalized the Suez Canal Company, the British, French and Israelis launched a war against Egypt. At the time, the then Foreign Secretary,

Mr. Selwyn Lloyd said that there was no collusion with the Israelis. Anthony Nutting, then a junior Minister who resigned because of disagreement with his government, now states categorically in a book recently serialized by the London Times that there was collusion.

4. U2 Spy Plane Incident

When in May 1960 the Russians first announced that they had downed a plane caught spying over Soviet territory, President Eisenhower denied this.

He had to recant when the Russians produced the evidence- the U2 plane and the pilot, Francis Gary Powers. This incident wrecked the Big Four conference which was scheduled to be held in Paris.

5. Bay of Pigs Invasion in 1961.

At first, the Kennedy government denied that it was involved in the CIA-planned invasion of Cuba. Later Kennedy's biographers Arthur Schlesinger Jr and Sorenson admitted that Kennedy was "sucked in" by Allan Dulles, CIA chief.

David Wise and Thomas B. Ross in their book on the CIA, The Invisible Government showed in great detail how Cuban mercenaries were trained secretly by the CIA in Guatemala, how ^{U.S.} bombers were painted with Cuban marks and shot with bullets to make it appear that they were part of the Cuban air force which had joined in the rebellion against the Castro regime.

Incidentally, Wise and Ross related that on the very day of the ~~US~~ disguised invasion of Cuba, President Kennedy was talking to African Students about freedom and democracy at an African Freedom Day celebration at the State Department!

6. Overthrow of the PPP government

In 1962, President Kennedy in an interview with the editor of Izvestia said: ~~.....~~

"..... The United States supports the idea that every people should have the right to make a free choice of the kind of Government they want. Mr. Jagan who was recently elected Prime Minister in British Guiana is

a Marxist, but the United States doesn't object because that choice was made by honest election, which he won."

But the CIA, through the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees and the Public Service International were subverting the PPP government since 1958, which culminated in demonstrations and riots and murder from 1962 to 1964. In mid-1963, Kennedy made a special trip to London to persuade Harold MacMillan not to grant Guyana independence under the PPP and to change our electoral system.

And while the British government was ostensibly cooperating with the PPP government, it was through MacMillan, Duncan Sandys, 2 top security men in Britain and a number of British Officials in Guyana plotting with the CIA to overthrow it. When Harold Wilson was recently asked in the House of Commons about British involvement in the CIA -plot he implied that his government was not involved by referring his questioner to the opposition Front Bench. Actually, his government had *acquiesced* in the US decision to remove the PPP from the government.

7. Bribing Prime Minister of Singapore

In 1965, the State Department denied a charge by Lee Quan Yew, Prime Minister of Singapore, that the CIA had offered him a bribe of \$5 million to release a CIA spy. When he declared that he would produce the evidence, Dean Rusk admitted the offer of the bribe and apologised.

8. Bay of Tonkin Incident

To justify the massive attacks against North Vietnam, the Pentagon announced that North Vietnamese PT boats had attacked US destroyers of the Seventh Fleet. This lie was debunked in a research study by Professor H. Bruce Franklin of Stanford University.

9. Intervention in Dominican Republic

The landing of US troops was done on the excuse that the lives of American citizens had to be protected. Johnson stated that there were "1,000 to 1,500 bodies that are dead in the streets."

This figure was later reduced to six. It was then announced that the Dominican rebel forces were dominated by communists. Later it was disclosed that there were only 53 communists.

The behaviour of the USA in the Korean War against that in the Arab-Israeli war is quite a contrast. Even before the United Nations could properly investigate, President Truman had ordered "US air and sea forces to give the Korean government troops cover and support." The United Nations was soon after made to cover up for US intervention, to declare North Korea an aggressor and to invoke sanctions against her. On the Israeli attack against the Arabs and the seizure of their territory, the U.S. government remains silent. It refuses to brand Israel as an aggressor, and to support the USSR resolution for Israel's withdrawal from Arab territory.

Why the difference? Because in Korea it was a case of defense of the American "way of life," "the American system, the free enterprise system." In the Middle East, it is a question of fighting against national liberation and socialism, the main targets being Egypt and Syria. Egypt which from the time of the nationalization of the Suez Canal Company in 1956 has been pursuing a non-capitalist path to socialism, and has been giving aid and shelter to national liberation movements. Syria which has nationalized several foreign-owned companies and placed restrictions on the Arabian-American Oil Company. (ARAMCO)

This has been the main foreign policy objective of successive US governments- the containment and destruction of socialist and national liberation movements, and the installation and support of US puppets who will defend the "American way of life," the American free enterprise capitalist system, grant concessions and make fiscal, monetary, trade and exchange policies in favour of foreign monopolies.

And the CIA was the main instrument whether under the "containment of communism" of Truman or the "brinkmanship" and disguised intervention of Eisenhower and Kennedy or the open intervention of Johnson.

The CIA worked under the guidance and control of US governments. According to Life Magazine (March 3, 1967), Senator Robert Kennedy, former Attorney General, declared early in the controversy that the CIA should not be made to take "the rap" alone since the funding policy was a product of "all relevant government agencies- and that includes the White House. If the policy was wrong, it was not the product of CIA, but of each administration."

Under Secretary of State, Nichols de B Katzenbach, in a letter to President Johnson on January February 23, stated:

"When the Central Intelligence Agency lent financial support to the work of certain American private organisations, it did not act on its own initiative but in accordance with national policies established by the National Security Council in 1952 through 1954. Throughout it acted with the approval of senior inter-departmental review committees, including the Secretaries of State and Defense or their representatives. These policies have therefore, been in effect under four Presidents.

Apparently, the only difference between the US government and the CIA was that the CIA operated where it was too dangerous for the US government or the State Department to operate openly. And the CIA operated not only abroad, but in the United States. This was necessary for to subvert trade unions, youth, student, church, cooperative, charitable, religious, cultural, research and press organisations abroad connections had to be established with like organisations in the U.S.A.

June 28, 1967

According to Thomas Braden, one of the ground rules for CIA operation abroad was: "Use legitimate, existing organisations; disguise the extent of American interests; protect the integrity of the organisation by not requiring it to support every aspect of official American policy".

The U.S. government will be doubt continue to deny involvement in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, until it is exposed. As regards backing for Israel, the US newspaper Christian Science Monitor, as long ago as April 13, 1967, reported that the Cairo newspaper Al Gahadliya asserted that an Israeli pilot who had bailed out of his Mirage and landed in Syria on April 7 was actually an American, who arrived in Israel a year ago, and "revealed secrets on American arms deal under which the United States gave gifts to Israel".

President De Gaulle recently stated that before a solution can be found in the Middle East, the Vietnam crisis must be resolved. And to achieve this, the U.S.A. must withdraw its troops.

De Gaulle is right. The U.S.A. has launched a world-wide counter-revolution. For lasting peace, based on real freedom and democracy, U.S. subversion and aggression must be check-mated everywhere.